Strongly Coupled Solvers with Loosely Coupled Software

Jed Brown^{1,2}, Dave May², and Barry Smith¹

¹Argonne National Laboratory ²ETH Zürich

2011-07-21

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example problems

- ALE free-surface flows
- Saddle-point problems (incompressibility, contact)
- Stiff waves
- Radiation hydrodynamics
- Multi-domain problems (e.g. fluid-structure interaction)
- Full space PDE-constrained optimization

Stiff wave prototype (low-Mach shallow water)

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} A & \nabla \cdot \\ h \nabla & D \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad S = A - (\nabla \cdot) D^{-1} h \nabla$$

- A and D are "nice", but proportional to $1/\Delta t$
- MG needs low-order upwinded smoothers for h-ellipticity
- ► S is well-behaved, nearly-parabolic, classical multigrid works
- ► Representative: "Schur complements make things better"

The Great Solver Schism: Monolithic or Split?

Monolithic

- Direct solvers
- Coupled Schwarz
- Coupled Neumann-Neumann (need unassembled matrices)
- Coupled multigrid
- X Need te understand local spectral and compatibility properties of the coupled system

Split

- Physics-split Schwarz (based on relaxation)
- Physics-split Schur (based on factorization)
 - "block" preconditioners/approximate commutators // SIMPLE, PCD, LSC
 - segregated smoothers
 - Augmented Lagrangian
 - "parabolization" for stiff waves

- X Need to understand global coupling strengths
- Preferred data structures depend on which method is used.
- Interplay with geometric multigrid.

Outline

Coupling software

Applications

Ice Flow Geodynamics

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●



- package each "physics" independently
- solve single-physics and coupled problems
- semi-implicit and fully implicit
- reuse residual and Jacobian evaluation unmodified
- direct solvers, fieldsplit inside multigrid, multigrid inside fieldsplit without recompilation
- use the best possible matrix format for each physics (e.g. symmetric block size 3)

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- matrix-free anywhere
- multiple levels of nesting

MomentumStokes Pressure

- package each "physics" independently
- solve single-physics and coupled problems
- semi-implicit and fully implicit
- reuse residual and Jacobian evaluation unmodified
- direct solvers, fieldsplit inside multigrid, multigrid inside fieldsplit without recompilation
- use the best possible matrix format for each physics (e.g. symmetric block size 3)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- matrix-free anywhere
- multiple levels of nesting



- package each "physics" independently
- solve single-physics and coupled problems
- semi-implicit and fully implicit
- reuse residual and Jacobian evaluation unmodified
- direct solvers, fieldsplit inside multigrid, multigrid inside fieldsplit without recompilation
- use the best possible matrix format for each physics (e.g. symmetric block size 3)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- matrix-free anywhere
- multiple levels of nesting



- package each "physics" independently
- solve single-physics and coupled problems
- semi-implicit and fully implicit
- reuse residual and Jacobian evaluation unmodified
- direct solvers, fieldsplit inside multigrid, multigrid inside fieldsplit without recompilation
- use the best possible matrix format for each physics (e.g. symmetric block size 3)

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- matrix-free anywhere
- multiple levels of nesting



Boundary Layer

Ocean

- package each "physics" independently
- solve single-physics and coupled problems
- semi-implicit and fully implicit
- reuse residual and Jacobian evaluation unmodified
- direct solvers, fieldsplit inside multigrid, multigrid inside fieldsplit without recompilation
- use the best possible matrix format for each physics (e.g. symmetric block size 3)

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ▲ □ ト ● ● の Q ()

- matrix-free anywhere
- multiple levels of nesting

MatGetLocalSubMatrix() spaces

• Newton method for F(x) = 0 solves

$$J(x)\delta x = -F(x)$$
$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{aa} & J_{ab} & J_{ac} \\ J_{ba} & J_{bb} & J_{bc} \\ J_{ca} & J_{cb} & J_{cc} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conceptually, there are three spaces in parallel

- V Globally assembled space
- V_i Global space for a single physics i
- \overline{V}_i Local space (with ghosts) for a single physcs i
- $\overline{V} \prod_i \overline{V}_i$ Concatenation of all single-physics local spaces
- Different components need different relationships
- $V_i \rightarrow V$ field-split
- $\overline{V}
 ightarrow V$ coupled Neumann domain decomposition methods
 - \overline{V}_i natural language for modular residual evaluation and assembly

MatGetLocalSubMatrix() spaces

Spaces

- V Globally assembled space
- V_i Global space for a single physics i
- \overline{V}_i Local space (with ghosts) for a single physcs i
- $\overline{V} \prod_i \overline{V}_i$ Concatenation of all single-physics local spaces
- Multiple physics $x = [x_a, x_b, x_c]$
- I_i Map indices from V_i to V.
- R_i Global physics restriction $R_i: V \rightarrow V_i$

$$R_i x = x[I_i] = x_i$$

- \overline{I}_i Map indices from \overline{V}_i to V_i
- \overline{R}_i Extract local single-physics part from global single-physics

$$\overline{R}_i x_i = x_i [\overline{I}_i] = \overline{x}_i$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 $ilde{I}_i$ Map indices from \overline{V}_i to \overline{V}

MatGetLocalSubMatrix() spaces

 Globally assembled coupled matrix in terms of assembled single-physics blocks

$$J = \sum_{ij} R_i^T J_{ij} R_j$$

- Language of Schwarz and fieldsplit
- Assembled single-physics blocks in terms of local single-physics matrices

$$J_{ij} = \overline{R}_i^T \overline{J}_{ij} \overline{R}_j$$

- Language of assembly and Neumann/FETI domain decomposition
- MatSetValuesLocal()

MatGetLocalSubMatrix(Mat A,IS rows,IS cols,Mat *B);

- Primarily for assembly
 - B is not guaranteed to implement MatMult
 - The communicator for B is not specified, only safe to use non-collective ops (unless you check)
- IS represents an index set, includes a block size and communicator
- MatSetValuesBlockedLocal() is implemented
- MatNest returns nested submatrix, no-copy
- No-copy for Neumann-Neumann formats (unassembled across procs, e.g. BDDC, FETI-DP)
- Most other matrices return a lightweight proxy Mat
 - COMM_SELF
 - Values not copied, does not implement MatMult
 - Translates indices to the language of the parent matrix

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Multiple levels of nesting are flattened

Outline

Coupling software

Applications

Ice Flow Geodynamics

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

ALE form

After discretization in time ($\alpha \propto 1/\Delta t$) we have a Jacobian

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{II} & A_{I\Gamma} & & & \\ \alpha M_{\Gamma\Gamma} & -N_{\Gamma\Gamma} & & \\ G_{II} & G_{\Gamma I} & B_{II} & B_{I\Gamma} & C_I^T & D_I \\ G_{I\Gamma} & G_{\Gamma\Gamma} & B_{\Gamma I} & B_{\Gamma\Gamma} & C_{\Gamma}^T & D_{\Gamma} \\ G_{Ip} & G_{\Gamma p} & C_I & C_{\Gamma} & & \\ \alpha E_I & \alpha E_{\Gamma} & F_I & F_{\Gamma} & \alpha M_{\Theta} + J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_I \\ x_{\Gamma} \\ u_I \\ u_{\Gamma} \\ p \\ \Theta \end{bmatrix}$$

mesh motion equations (Laplace-Beltrami or pseudo-elasticity)

(ロト・日本)・モン・モン・モー のへの

- $(\dot{x} u) \cdot n =$ accumulution
- "just" geometry
- Stokes problem
- temperature dependence of rheology
- convective terms and strain heating in heat transport
- thermal advection-diffusion

Relative effect of the blocks

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{uu} & J_{up} & J_{uE} \\ J_{pu} & 0 & 0 \\ J_{Eu} & J_{Ep} & J_{EE} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- *J_{uu}* Viscous/momentum terms, nearly symmetric, variable coefficients, anisotropy from Newton.
- J_{up} Weak pressure gradient, viscosity dependence on pressure (small), gravitational contribution (pressure-induced density variation). Large, nearly balanced by gravitational forcing.
- J_{uE} Viscous dependence on energy, very nonlinear, not very large.
- J_{pu} Divergence (mass conservation), nearly equal to J_{up}^{T} .
- J_{Eu} Sensitivity of energy on momentum, mostly advective transport. Large in boundary layers with large thermal/moisture gradients.
- J_{Ep} Thermal/moisture diffusion due to pressure-melting, $u \cdot \nabla$.
- *J_{EE}* Advection-diffusion for energy, very nonlinear at small regularization. Advection-dominated except in boundary layers and stagnant ice, often balanced in vertical.

How much nesting?

$$P_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{uu} & J_{up} & J_{uE} \\ 0 & B_{pp} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{EE} \end{pmatrix}$$

- *B_{pp}* is a mass matrix in the pressure space weighted by inverse of kinematic viscosity.
- Elman, Mihajlović, Wathen, JCP 2011 for non-dimensional isoviscouse Boussinesq.
- Works well for non-dimensional problems on the cube, not for realistic parameters.

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{uu} & J_{up} \\ J_{pu} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} J_{Eu} & J_{Ep} \end{pmatrix} & J_{EE} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Inexact inner solve using upper-triangular with B_{pp} for Schur.
- Another level of nesting.
- GCR tolerant of inexact inner solves.
- Outer converges in 1 or 2 iterations.
- Low-order preconditioning full-accuracy unassembled high order operator.
- Build these on command line with PETSc PCFieldSplit.

The Drunken Seaman instability



- Subduction and mantle convection with a free surface.
- Free surface critical to long-term dynamics (e.g. mountain range formation)
- Advective 0.01 CFL for stability.
- Semi-implicit helps: Kaus, Mühlhaus, and May, 2010



Fully implicit free surface

Velocity u, pressure p, Lagrangian/ALE coordinates x.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{uu} & J_{up} & J_{ux} \\ J_{pu} & 0 & J_{ux} \\ J_{xu} & 0 & J_{xx} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Precondition with

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{uu} & J_{up} \\ J_{pu} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \\ \begin{pmatrix} J_{xu} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & J_{xx} \end{bmatrix} \qquad P_{\text{Stokes}} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{uu} & 0 \\ J_{pu} & B_{pp} \end{pmatrix}$$

-dm_mat_type nest -pc_type fieldsplit

 -fieldsplit_stokes_pc_type fieldsplit
 -fieldsplit_stokes_pc_fieldsplit_type schur
 -fieldsplit_stokes_pc_fieldsplit_schur_factorization_type lower
 -fieldsplit_stokes_fieldsplit_u_pc_type mg

-dm_mat_type aij -pc_type lu -pc_factor_mat_solver_package mumps

Outlook

- Block and symmetric formats, monolithic or nested
- Multigrid inside or outside field splits
- Can use IMEX methods $g(t, x, \dot{x}) = f(t, x)$
- Preallocation for off-diagonal blocks
- Nonlinear solvers for IMEX systems with structure

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

General/nonsymmetric pivoting.